**CPT-206-A80S-Lab-2**

**Code Review – Brandon Roberts**

1. **Is the code generally readable and well-documented?**

The code was pretty readable. There wasn’t any dead code at all in this program (***huge plus***). Except for one line of comments (***describing the table***), the program lacked significant documentation. This took away from what looks like a detailed program. In my opinion, this is what’s holding the program back from being really good. Documentation is critical for effective programming. Nevertheless, the author excelled in displaying the necessary queries. Not too bad.

1. **Did you find any software defects?**

The program executed well without any errors or glitches. The layout was decent. However, the display could have been more defined (***title of the database - e.g. City Database***) and more visuals (***color***). Overall, a very good program.

1. **What was one way that their solution differed from yours?**

The author’s coding solution differed from mine in he was able to add, save, and update an entry to the database. That was something that I had left out.

1. **Do you have any other constructive comments for the author?**

If there is one constructive criticism that the author can take away from this review, it would be to add more documentation. This would benefit them tremendously; particularly when collaborating with other programmers. Overall, though, this was a decent program. It provided exactly what the consumer (***Mr. Thompson***) was looking for.